

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 ANKARA 04741 180908Z

20

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15

ACDA-10 IO-10 SAM-01 OMB-01 MC-02 AID-05 /097 W

----- 079672

R 180826Z JUN 75

FM AMEMBASSY ANKARA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9632

INFO AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY NICOSIA

AMCONSUL ADANA

AMCONSUL ISTANBUL

AMCONSUL IZMIR

USMISSION NATO

/USMISSION USUN 1971

CINCUSAFE

EUCOM

UNCLAS ANKARA 4741

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PFOR, PINT, TU, US

SUBJ: FONMIN CAGLAYANGIL DEFENDS GOT RESPONSE TO

ARMS EMBARGO AGAINST OPPOSITION CRITICISMS

REF: (A) ANKARA 4732 (B) ANKARA 4641

1. THE GOT COUNTERMEASURES ANNOUNCED BY FONMIN CAGLAYANGIL JUNE 17 AGAINST THE US ARMS EMBARGO HAVE BEEN SHARPLY ATTACKED BY THE PARLIAMENTARY OPPOSITION AND RECEIVED A BASICALLY NEGATIVE REACTION IN THE PRESS. IN ADDITION TO THE STATEMENT ISSUED BY REPUBLICAN PEOPLE'S PARTY CHAIRMAN ECEVIT (REF A), THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY (DP), WHICH HAD HERETOFORE SIDED WITH THE GOVERNMENT IN FOREIGN POLICY MATTERS, DESCRIBED THE GOVERNMENT ACTION AS "WAVERING, INADEQUATE AND HESITANT" AND CALLED FOR AN "OPEN, DECISIVE AND COURAGEOUS" POLICY.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 ANKARA 04741 180908Z

SEPARATELY, DP ASSEMBLY GROUP DEPUTY CHAIRMAN OLCMAN

DENOUNCED THE GOVERNMENT'S DECISION AS THE "LATEST AND MOST DANGEROUS EXAMPLE OF THE DISAGREEMENT AND DISHARMONY EXISTING IN THE GOVERNMENT."

2. PRESS REACTION TO CAGLAYANGIL'S STATEMENT IS BEING REPORTED BY SEPTEL.

3. FONMIN CAGLAYANGIL SPOKE IN THE SENATE IN THE AFTERNOON JUNE 17 TO DELIVER A FOREIGN POLICY REPORT SIMILAR TO THE ONE HE HAD PRESENTED TO THE NATIONAL ASSEMBLY (REFTEL B). SUBSEQUENTLY HE SPOKE IN DEFENSE OF THE GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO THE ARMS EMBARGO. HE POINT OUT:

BEGIN QUOTE: THERE ARE NO JOINT DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS IN ALL THE NATO COUNTRIES. BECAUSE OF HER FRONTIERS, TURKEY HAS NATO JOINT DEFENCE INSTALLATIONS. THEY ARE KNOWN AS BILATERAL AGREEMENTS. WE CAME TO POWER IN 1965 AND FACED THIS BILATERAL AGREEMENTS QUESTION. WE MADE NO BILATERAL AGREEMENT. THE GOVERNMENT BEFORE US HAD MADE EXTENSIVE ONES VERBALLY OR IN WRITING. THEIR LEGAL VALIDITY IS ALSO QUESTIONABLE.

THEN OUR GOVERNMENT TOOK UP THIS MATTER AND PROPOSED TO THE AMERICANS THAT THEY BE TAKEN UNDER A DISCIPLINE AND MADE LEGAL. THE AMERICANS SAID, 'THESE ARE LEGAL. WE MADE THE SAME AGREEMENTS WITH OTHERS. IF WE START WORKING WITH YOU ON THEM, THE OTHER STATES WILL ALSO DEMAND IT.' WE CONVINCED THEM. WE WORKED FOR TWO AND A HALF YEARS AND PRODUCED THE JOINT DEFENSE COOPERATION AGREEMENT AS A FRAMEWORK IN 1969. THIS AGREEMENT LOOKED AFTER TURKEY'S SOVEREIGNTY RIGHTS. WE WERE TO REVISE THE BILATERAL AGREEMENTS WITHIN A YEAR OF THAT FRAMEWORK. WE STARTED IT AND CONTINUED WITH IT UNTIL WE LEFT POWER. THE BILATERAL AGREEMENTS WERE GATHERED INTO 11 TOPICS AND TWO OF THEM WERE SIGNED. WORK WAS CONTINUING ON THE OTHERS.

WHEN THE EMBARGO WAS IMPOSED, THE GOVERNMENT OF THE DAY SAID, 'I WILL NO LONGER NEGOTIATE THEM.' TODAY WE SAY, 'WE SEE AS INVALID ALL THE EXISTING TREATY OBLIGATIONS WITH YOU INCLUDING THE 1969 AGREEMENT.' WE SAY, 'I AM NO LONGER BOUND UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 ANKARA 04741 180908Z

BY THEM. I CALL YOU TO NEGOTIATIONS IN 30 DAYS.' IF THIS IS NOT A MEASURE, WHAT IS? WHY THE DISAPPOINTMENT? THEY NOW ASK US, 'WHY DON'T YOU TAKE ACTION TODAY?' INTERNATIONAL NEGOTIATIONS HAVE LONG-TERM EFFECTS. THE GOVERNMENT CONSIDERED ALL THAT AND ARRIVED AT THIS CONCLUSION. END QUOTE.

BERGUS

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ALLIANCE, ARMS EMBARGO, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, MILITARY BASES  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 18 JUN 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975ANKARA04741  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750212-0017  
**From:** ANKARA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750636/aaaabgse.tel  
**Line Count:** 113  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 ANKARA 4732, 75 ANKARA 4641  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ShawDG  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 13 MAR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <13 MAR 2003 by PhilliR0>; APPROVED <09 MAR 2004 by ShawDG>  
**Review Markings:**  

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** FONMIN CAGLAYANGIL DEFENDS GOT RESPONSE TO ARMS EMBARGO AGAINST OPPOSITION CRITICISMS  
**TAGS:** PFOR, PINT, TU, US, (ECEVIT, BULENT)  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006